

Fwd: Venice BID engineers report

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Venice BID engineers report
Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 1:32 PM
X-Delivered-To: [REDACTED]
Size: 19 KB

Sent from [REDACTED] iPhone.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Moore, Ric@DCA" <Ric.Moore@dca.ca.gov>
Date: April 17, 2018 at 3:38:10 PM PDT
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: "Criswell, Tiffany@DCA" <Tiffany.Criswell@dca.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Venice BID engineers report

Thank you for detailing your concerns in more detail. I'll request the closed enforcement file you stated and review it. If the file is still in our offices, please give me through next week to review and respond. Otherwise, if the file is archived offsite, I will let you know as it will likely be a little while before it is retrieved for us.

Ric Moore

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 8:56 AM
To: Moore, Ric@DCA <Ric.Moore@dca.ca.gov>; [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Venice BID engineers report

Mr. Moore,

The letter from your office to me dated May 31, 2017, case # 2017-01-030/Henning. You mentioned you have no authority over tax assessments arrived at by a civil engineers Business Improvement District Report yet the Engineers report is stamped with the State Seal of Professional Engineers. The request to investigate the report I sent you dated June 24, 2016 is a product of the states mandate for a civil engineers report. I would like to know if my request for investigation was reviewed by your office and what conclusions were found. If BID's are just math computations than this should invalidate the BID as no civil engineering was performed. Your response is most appreciated.

Sincerely,
[REDACTED]

On Mon, Apr 16, 2018 at 4:20 PM, Moore, Ric@DCA <Ric.Moore@dca.ca.gov> wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

Could you please be more specific as to the nature of your concerns with the results of the matter so that I can more appropriately research and address those effectively.

[REDACTED]